1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney	
2	JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961 Chief Trial Attorney	
3	SEAN F. CONNOLLY, State Bar # 152235	
4	Deputy City Attorney Fox Plaza	
5	1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408	
	Telephone: (415) 554-3863	
6	Facsimile: (415) 554-3837 E-Mail: sean.connolly@sfgov.org	
7		
8	Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	ET AL.
9	BENJAMIN NISENBAUM, State Bar # 222173 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS	
10	Airport Corporate Centre 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120	
11	Telephone: (510) 839-5200	
12	Facsimile: (510) 839-3882	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff ESTHER HWANG	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	ESTHER HWANG,	Case No. C07-02718 MMC
18	Plaintiff,	SECOND JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
19	VS.	STATEMENT (FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE)
20	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	
21	FRANCISCO, ET AL.	Hearing Date: July 11, 2008 Time: 10:30 A.M.
22	Defendants.	Place: Court No. 7
23		
24		
25	The parties submit the following updated case management statement pursuant to the Court's Case Management Conference Order, Feb. 27, 2007, and <i>The Standing Order for All Judges of the</i>	
26		
	Northern District of California, and by Order of	the Court after the November 30, 2007, hearing.
27		
20		

1

 $n:\lit\li2007\label{lit-li2007} 071511\label{li2007} n.\label{lit-li2007} n.\label{li2007} n.\label{lit-li2007} n.\label{li2007} n.\la$

Joint CMC Statement NO. C07-02718 MMC

Case 3:07-cv-02718-MMC

A. FACT DISCOVERY:

The parties have completed nearly all the fact discovery. Defendants have completed eight witness depositions, and written discovery. Defendants have supplemented their initial disclosures. Defendants anticipate filing a motion for summary judgment, or in the alternative, a motion for partial summary judgment. There have been only a few glitches preventing the completion of discovery by defendants. Defendants have sought third party discovery from Dr. Miran Choi, MD, a treater disclosed by plaintiff, who has until now failed to respond or cooperate in the production of relative treatment records. The records and discovery related to this treatment are critical to defendants' expert discovery and defense against plaintiff's damages and claimed emotional injuries. Defendants anticipate requesting an Order to Show Cause why this witness should not be held in contempt, or otherwise sanctioned, for failing to produce records. Additionally, defendants are awaiting records subpoenaed from another third party witness, plaintiff's brother, who has indicated he will produce such records, but to date has not.

Plaintiff has not supplemented her disclosures, but does not anticipate the need to do so.

Plaintiff has completed discovery except for the deposition the defendant police officers. The reason for the delay is because the parties had informally agreed to use an anticipated ruling in another unrelated case (*Oliver v. City and County of San Francisco* C07-2460 (JL)) involving Officer Serna's personnel records as a basis for guidance on the personnel records that the parties would stipulate to produce in the present case. The parties reached this agreement in an attempt to avoid unnecessary expenditure of resources, rancor, and court time, regarding the issue of production of personnel records in the present case. Regrettably, the court in the other case has yet to issue a ruling. Plaintiff has indicated her preference to wait for the production of those records before conducting the depositions.

B. **EXPERT DISCOVERY**

The parties have not yet commenced expert discovery. Expert disclosures are due July 25, 2008.

The parties have continued to meet and confer in good faith regarding any outstanding issues of discovery; and have generally had a cordial and productive relationship.

Although the parties desire to maintain the present trial date, they may require the court to further extend deadlines related to expert discovery and dispositive motions.

Dated: July 9, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER Chief Trial Deputy SEAN F. CONNOLLY Deputy City Attorney

By: s/Sean F. Connolly SEAN F. CONNOLLY Attorneys for Defendants

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.

Dated: July 9, 2008

BENJAMIN NISENBAUM, ESQ. JOHN BURRIS, ESQ. Law Officers of John Burris

By: s/Ben Nisenbaum **BENJAMIN NISENBAUM** Attorney for Plaintiff ESTHER HWANG

20 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24 25

26

27

28